

Route 94 Corridor Study

Public Meeting

December 10, 2015

Alternate 1 Public Ranking	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
<p>3 Lane + Intersection Enhancements + Backage Rds</p> <p>26 Responses: 13 - 4 Stars 2 - 3 Stars 5 - 2 Stars 6 - 1 Star</p> <p>Total Score, 1 point per star: 74</p>	<p>5 7 11 25 29 31 37 38 40 41 44 47 53 54 58, 60 64 66 68 70 73 75 77 79 82</p>	<p>Patch Work (no ranking) Like this plan the best This is only option that leaves Sherman open from 94. If Sherman closes I will have to close my business No Not enough for the cost This option will not work for Hollowbrook residents. Do not want. Would decrease property values. This option would put a road by Friedens Cemetery and Hollowbrook- it would be (extremely noisy) of all Hollowbrook residents and decrease property values We are against Option 1 and 3 NO Least disruptive but not thrilled about this option Only option that does not limit Sherman Drive. Any of the other options would be a huge detriment to our Business, Ginghams Restaurant. This is the only option that gives satisfactory ingress & egress to Sherman Drive, however, business would still be seriously disrupted during construction. I like this study, adding 3 lanes. I don't think this is helpful, just more lanes for traffic between lights. NO! Hate it!!! Access available to two of our restaurants and our chiropractor's office. Not as big of a change/impact with safety and speed, good \$. Gives easier access to business along 94, including restaurants and doctor's office. Currently a long way around from Muegge to 94 lowest cost for the taxpayers & takes care of many of the issues Bare minimum, does the job Limited capacity in the future. At-grade intersection at Hemsath is no good! Cheap with 3 lanes, signals a trade off. Visibility is the best for these existing businesses. Cheaper option by far; Gives a LOS B (huge improvement)</p>

Route 94 Corridor Study

Public Meeting

December 10, 2015

Alternate 2, Option A: Public Ranking	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
<p>Freeway with One-Way Outer Roads</p> <p>28 Responses: 14 - 4 Stars 5 - 3 Stars 8 - 2 Stars 1 - 1 Star</p> <p>Total Score, 1 point per star: 88</p>	<p>5 11 17 23 25 29 31 33 35 38 54 58 64 66 68 77 79 82</p>	<p>Best Cost effective, ability to move 94 like 364. (no ranking)</p> <p>Will Force my 25 year business to close</p> <p>I like the idea of no stop lights from 94/364 to St. Charles City</p> <p>No good access, Sherman to I-70</p> <p>Yes, Yes, Yes Yes, Yes, Please add a slip road to 94 from Old 94</p> <p>Education needed for public on Texas-styled U-Turn lanes (most here not familiar with them)</p> <p>Like this option</p> <p>Live in Hollowbrook, this seems the best option for us</p> <p>Second best option for overall traffic patterns.</p> <p>We live at 1512 Hollowbrook Drive, if you construct the backage road between Freidens Cemetery and subdivision, we would have a lot of noise and trash. We use our deck a lot and we would have to stay inside because of the traffic and noise. If you construct this backage road, I would certainly expect a noise barrier. This backage road would reduce our property value by 1/3.</p> <p>Better, but slip ramps cause more potential for accidents.</p> <p>Yes, allows flow for current residences & businesses. Yes, allows people to stay on main hwy 94 bust access to businesses & homes.</p> <p>Its ok.</p> <p>1-way outer roads - bad!</p> <p>Increased speed and safety but still with flexibility - favorite</p> <p>Best option for all involved parties. Direct access to Country Club Plaza is a plus. Also clears the issue of turning into Plaza off of Friedens</p> <p>79 Best option. One way outer roads efficient with not too many slip ramps as option B.</p> <p>Worried about visibility impacts to businesses/very costly</p>

**Route 94 Corridor Study
Public Meeting
December 10, 2015**

Alternate 2, Option B: Public Ranking	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
<p>Freeway with One-Way Outer Roads (Close Pralle and Sherman crossovers)</p> <p>21 Responses: 4 - 4 Stars 6 - 3 Stars 4 - 2 Stars 7 - 1 Star</p> <p>Total Score, 1 point per star: 49</p>	<p>5 7 11 23 25 31 35 41 54 58, 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 77 79</p>	<p>Maybe, or if Option A too much dollars.</p> <p>Our store is at Pralle, this seems very hard for people to get to us.</p> <p>Will Force my 25 year business to close</p> <p>No good access, Sherman to I-70</p> <p>Absolutely not! I live in Hollowbrook & you've extended the time it takes to go east. What about emergencies. Absolutely not.</p> <p>Like this option</p> <p>Best option for overall ingress/egress to local properties. Safest traffic pattern, cost effective.</p> <p>No way - do not close access</p> <p>This is better because of freeway also but too restrictive to Pralle and Sherman when it doesn't have to be. Why not keep 2A or 3. Restrictive to businesses as well</p> <p>No!</p> <p>Best option for thru traffic at least cost</p> <p>Really hate it!!!</p> <p>1-way outer roads - bad!</p> <p>Less accessible, very out of the way if miss turn.</p> <p>Cuts off all easy access to any business</p> <p>Would(n't) want to lose crossovers (1 Star)</p> <p>Underpasses on Pralle and Sherman would be missed</p> <p>Too many slip ramps, increased risk of accidents due to merging.</p>

**Route 94 Corridor Study
Public Meeting
December 10, 2015**

Alternate 3 Public Ranking	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
<p>Freeway with Two-Way Outer Roads</p> <p>26 Responses: 13 - 4 Stars 3 - 3 Stars 7 - 2 Stars 3 - 1 Star</p> <p>Total Score, 1 point per star: 78</p>	<p>11 15 23 25 27 29 31 38 40 41 54 56 58, 60 64 72 77 79 82</p>	<p>Will Force my 25 year business to close</p> <p>This is the best plan, it allows traffic to move at expressway speed moving traffic safely, allows good on & off ramp exits for Pralle & Town West & streets and the 3 way for Old Hwy 94 is optimum for Hope Lutheran Church's members to access our entrances.</p> <p>No good access, Sherman to I-70</p> <p>No No No. You will destroy my community of Hollowbrook. Too much traffic</p> <p>Seems most feasible and practical</p> <p>Leave direct access to Muegge from WB364 as is. This is preferred option, more consistent w/existing hwy. Speed limits?</p> <p>Do not want</p> <p>We are against Option 1 and 3</p> <p>NO</p> <p>I like the versatility of this proposal. The ability to go both directions on the same service road.</p> <p>Most conventional with on/off ramps. Its what drivers are used to. Two way outer road is least restrictive for drivers and businesses.</p> <p>Not to run road between the church and Hollowbrook sub. May be with changes - need to change roadway behind Hollowbrook sub. Instead a right turn from Zumbahl to old 94. Maybe a roundabout at the water tower location.</p> <p>No!</p> <p>Love it, but NEED access at Sherman & please make it happen!</p> <p>Seems best possible choice</p> <p>Cuts access from Country Club Plaza. Leaves things disconnected.</p> <p>Outer roads coupled with off ramps may result in congestions without U-turn ramps. Bottom-line: Need U-turn ramps.</p> <p>Worried about visibility impacts to businesses/very costly</p>

**Route 94 Corridor Study
Public Meeting, December 10, 2015**

Public rankings: Implementation Priorities by Area	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
<p>Add 3rd Lane to Route 94 14 Responses: 9 - 4 Stars 3 - 3 Stars 1 - 2 Stars 1 - 1 Star</p>	<p>30 57 59 65 74 78 80 83</p>	<p>Do it first if rest Is not in budget 2 way traffic from Zumbahl to Pralle Using option 2A NO! Access to 94 Yes! N/A with Alternative 2, Option A Gets a LOS of B, Cheaper in comparison to the other options.</p>
<p>New Hemsath Intersection/Interchange Improvements 12 Responses: 4 - 4 Stars 3 - 3 Stars 2 - 2 Stars 3 - 1 Star</p>	<p>24 30 55 83</p>	<p>Not Needed Do 4th No reason for this</p>
<p>Pralle Road Intersection/Interchange Improvements 12 Responses: 5 - 4 Stars 3 - 3 Stars 4 - 2 Stars 1 - 1 Star</p>	<p>24 30 59 78</p>	<p>Not Needed Do 3rd 4 stars, but option 2A Access to this turn should remain</p>
<p>Zumbahl-Freidens Intersection/Interchange Improvements 15 Responses: 9 - 4 Stars 5 - 3 Stars 1 - 2 Stars 0 - 1 Star</p>	<p>30 57 59 78</p>	<p>Do 2nd Right turn from Zumbahl to Old 94 at the existing corner. Keep some type of slip (ramp) The Texas U-turns would be different, but not stopping to get across would be nice.</p>
<p>Improvements at Sherman 8 Responses: 2 - 4 Stars 2 - 3 Stars 2 - 2 Stars 2 - 1 Star</p>	<p>24 30 65 78</p>	<p>Not Improvement seen or Needed Do 4A <u>Must</u> have access at Sherman Access to the turn should remain</p>
<p>Triple Left at I-70/Route 94 Interchange 12 Responses: 5 - 4 Stars 3 - 3 Stars 3 - 2 Stars 1 - 1 Star</p>	<p>24 30 80</p>	<p>Not Needed Do only 3 lane WB94 complete. Allow space for lane-changers Cannot be implemented without Sherman/Zumbahl interchanges completed due to congestion.</p>

**Route 94 Corridor Study
Public Meeting
December 10, 2015**

General Comments	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
Person/Business/Org.		
Barb McDonald	4	We do not want a road between Hollowbrook and the cemetery. Leave everything as is, we already have to deal with the water tower, what next?
Anonymous	6	I love the double U-Turn bridges at Heritage Crossing an Harvester, My office is between them, that would really help.
94 Autohous	12	I have been in business since 1994. Most proposals will make it so difficult to access my business that I will be forced to close. If Sherman were to remain accessible, like alternate 1, I might have a chance to keep my business open.
Hope Lutheran Church	16	Alternate 3 makes the most sense for Hope Lutheran. When you look at the aerial map please note that Hope is located at Pralle and Old 94 but have purchased the Drywall Property for future expansion next door at 1901 Old Hwy 94. It's important that we leave 2 lane access so we can access each entry and exit.
Marie Roberts	18	The drawing south (west) of all the alternates makes a lot of sense, do it!
Anonymous	19	Would like to see sound walls in the hollow brook sub. Area to eliminate traffic noise on all plans.
K. Katzenbergen (sp)	28	Has anyone done impact studies on noise pollution caused by traffic on backage roads?
Edward Moreland	30	Bond it and Do Option 3, You'll probably get one shot at it: Do it all.
Donald Bohler	39	We have lived at 1512 Hollowbrook Drive since 2006. We have a very peaceful backyard. If alternates 1 or 3 is selected, the backage road along Friedens Cemetery between rear of Hollowbrook will be an end to peace and quiet. There needs to be a noise barrier built or property value will plummet. The noise and trash will be very bad . We are against alternates 1 and 3 with backage roads.
Anonymous	43	Underground streams exist in the hill behind Hollowbrook and Friedens Cemetery these will likely cause issues with a road behind Hollowbrook.
Anonymous	47	Any of the options (Alt 2-A, 2-B or 3) would critically impact the business (Ginghams Restaurant) @ Hwy 94 & Sherman Drive
Anonymous	48	Leave area as is (Hollowbrook). Do not put a road on top of hill by church. That destroys the subdivision below, we already have a water tower coming.

**Route 94 Corridor Study
Public Meeting
December 10, 2015**

General Comments	Comment Sheet No.	Comments
Person/Business/Org.		
Anonymous	49	Absolutely no road of any kind behind area of the cemetery fence.
Robert Gordon	51	I am in favor of 2A and 3 but any improvements would be welcome. The U-turn ramps at Harvester and Heritage are a critical need. I now have to exit 364 eastbound at Woodstone in order to access the Heritage overpass.
Anonymous	52	Make sure sidewalks & pedestrian signals are included!
Vince Cafazza	52	All plans deal w/efficiencies of existing roads which is needed and helpful in reducing traffic on 94. The only addition that doesn't may help is the through way of Muegge to Hemsath. Why include this and cause more of an expense which it isn't taking part in accomplishing the goal? I hope this is removed from all plans.
Don Sowins	57	No change of existing 2-way traffic on old 94 hwy. Possible extra lane between Zumbahl and Pralle Lane with an exit and roundabout at the water location.
Karen Thaler	59	Currently need to increase speed control on 94 between 364 & Zumbahl coming towards Zumbahl/Friedens. Would like to see sidewalks on Old 94 w/lighting.
Steve Thaler	61	Sidewalks and pedestrian overpasses should be a safety priority
Anonymous	69	To me, safety, access and travel time are the most important,
Jared Wood	78	I live and work at the Extra Space Storage. Any improvements made to alleviate traffic flow would be welcomed and appreciated. I hope to hear more soon as things happen, thanks.
Linda Calahan	81	I cannot tell you how disturbing it would be to have a road circling the Friedens Church of Christ cemetery. Right now it is beautiful, tranquil landscape that I see from my back deck. I can't imagine how much depreciation this would reflect on the value of our homes. When we all moved here there was nothing of this nature on the horizon. It's not fair to the residents who would have to deal with the noise and highway right off their back yards. We have a beautiful community. Please let us keep it that way.
John Keeven	83	Great display of options. Main concern is the economic impact to all the businesses right off 94. Thanks for the opportunity to look over and comment.